

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,961	07/31/2006	Yoshifumi Taoka	F-9164	6639
28107 JORDAN ANI	7590 07/01/2008 D HAMBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
122 EAST 42ND STREET SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168			ABRAHAM, TANIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
rum romm,	111 10100		3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/587,961 TAOKA ET AL.

Office Action Summary							
Onice Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tania Abraham	3636					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - If NO period to reply is specified above, the maximum slabitory period will apply and will copie SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - If NO period of reply is specified above, the maximum slabitory period will apply and will copie SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - If NO period the type is specified above, the maximum slabitory period will apply and will copie SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Any reply received by the Office later ham three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any careful granter. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2006 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate					
3) X-Information-Disclosure-Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)	O D Other	arcur Attucation					

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)Mail Date 7/31/2006	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/587,961

Art Unit: 3636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The confidence of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112

- The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite
 for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant
 regards as the invention.
- Claim 5 recites the limitation "the inertial mass part" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by
 Taoka et al (WO02/066285). Taoka et al show structure claimed, including: a catching part 14; an inertial force application means comprising a support member 10.

Regarding claim 4, Taoka et al also show a locking means 29 located on the support member 10. Application/Control Number: 10/587,961 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taoka et al in view of Takada (US 4225178). Taoka et al show structure claimed, including: a reinforcing member 24a/b and a pivot shaft 9b; but they do not show or suggest an inertial mass part coupled to the catching part. Takada shows a catching part 5, a pivot shaft 7, and an inertial mass part 12 coupled to and forward of the catching part via a coupling part 10. Takada also shows a locking means located on a support member 9. Takada connects the inertial mass part 12 to the catching part 5 via the support member 9, similar to Taoka et al's configuration. Takada shows that it was old and well-known in the art at the time of invention to use an inertial mass part

Application/Control Number: 10/587,961

Art Unit: 3636

connected to a ratchet and pawl device, like the device used in Taoka et al, for activating a forward end of a seat during sudden deceleration to prevent an occupant from "submarining". Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Taoka et al's structure with the inertial mass part of Takada, as taught by Takada, in order to improve Taoka et al's device with a conventional yet effective means of activating a "submarining" prevention device using a ratchet and pawl engagement.

Regarding claim 3, Taoka et al shows a support spring member in Figure 8, but does not show or suggest coupling the catching part to the spring member with an elastic member. However, the coupling to the spring member is considered a matter of design choice since it appears that the apparatus would perform equally as well without being coupled to the seat's spring member.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Klueting et al, Woodsworth, and Wu et al also show a conventional inertial mass part used in vehicle seat safety lock systems.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,961

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tania Abraham whose telephone number is 571-272-2635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on 571-272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 3636 June 23, 2008

/David Dunn/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3636